

Conformal Field Theory Techniques for Large N Group Theory

Michael R. Douglas¹

Dept. of Physics and Astronomy

Rutgers University

and

Institute for Theoretical Physics

University of California at Santa Barbara

We show how to use quantum mechanics on the group manifold $U(N)$ as a tool for problems in $U(N)$ representation theory. The quantum mechanics reduces to free fermions on the circle, which in the large N limit become relativistic. The theory can be bosonized giving the Das-Jevicki-Sakita collective field theory. The formalism is particularly suited to problems involving tensor product multiplicity (Littlewood-Richardson) coefficients. As examples, we discuss the partition function of two-dimensional Yang-Mills theory on the sphere, and the zero magnetic field limit of D -dimensional Eguchi-Kawai Yang-Mills theory. We give the leading $O(N^0)$ solution of the latter theory, using a method which allows computing corrections.

March 29, 1993

¹ (mrd@physics.rutgers.edu, or @rutgers.bitnet)

In the following we describe some techniques for studying group representations of $U(N)$ and $SU(N)$ based on those that have been used recently to study the matrix model of $D = 1$ strings. [1] Some aspects of this are already described in [2] and references there. Others are in the mathematics literature [3] and similar ideas have also been applied in the theory of the quantum Hall effect. [4] Here we will concentrate on applying them to large- N Yang-Mills theory. A more complete account will appear in upcoming work.

The immediate motivation for the present work was the study of two-dimensional Yang-Mills theory, which was solved exactly in [5,6]. The solution is presented as a sum over group representations; for a genus G target space of area A , with 2d Yang-Mills coupling g ,

$$Z_G(g^2 A) = \sum_R (\dim R)^{2-2G} e^{-g^2 A C_2(R)}. \quad (1)$$

Performing such sums in the large N limit with $g^2 N$ fixed is not trivial. In recent work D. Gross and W. Taylor [7] have developed a string representation which represents the series as a sum of terms $\sum_{m,n} w_{mn} (g^2 A)^m e^{-ng^2 A}$, whose weights w_{mn} are determined by a sum over maps with a specified winding number n and having m distinguished points. For $G \geq 1$ this sum has a relatively simple form, while for $G = 0$ the sum is infinite and has so far resisted exact evaluation. We reformulate it here in a way that we believe will be more tractable.

Although certain objects natural in QCD₂, such as the “three-space” vertex, seem significantly more complicated in this formalism, there are other operations which are much simpler in this description than in other group theory techniques. A prime example is multiplication of characters, which can be used to compute tensor product characters and multiplicity (Littlewood-Richardson) coefficients for irreducibles in tensor products. Using this operation, we formulate and partially solve a toy model which exhibits the simplest of the complexities of lattice formulations in higher than two dimensions, specifically which has several plaquettes glued to the same closed link (i.e. with S_1 topology) of the lattice.

We start with $U(N)$ and discuss the reduction to $SU(N)$ below. An explicit basis t_{ij}^a for the fundamental representation of the Lie algebra, in terms of the basis matrices $(e^{kl})_{i\bar{j}} = \delta_i^k \delta_{\bar{j}}^l$ is

$$T^{(ij)} \equiv e^{ij} + e^{ji}; \quad T^{[ij]} \equiv i(e^{ij} - e^{ji}); \quad H^i \equiv e^{ii} - e^{i+1,i+1}; \quad Q \equiv \sum_i e^{ii}. \quad (2)$$

The Killing metric $g^{ab} = \text{tr } t^a t^b$ is then $g^{ab} = 2\delta^{ab}$ for the off-diagonal generators, $g^{ij} = 2\delta^{ij} - \delta^{|i-j|,1}$ for the diagonal generators, and $g^{QQ} = N$. The second Casimir $C_2 = g_{ab} t^a t^b$ then satisfies $\text{tr } C_2 = g_{ab} g^{ab} = \dim G$ so $C_2 = N$.

Group elements can be formed from the algebra as $U = \exp i \sum \tau^a t_a$, a general form which is particularly useful if we restrict τ^a to the maximal torus, i.e. a ranges over the diagonal generators. Since we can diagonalize a unitary, conjugacy classes of the group are just points in the maximal torus. We will also describe this torus as $U = \exp i \sum \theta^i e_{ii}$ or $U_{i\bar{j}} = \delta_{i\bar{j}} z_i$. $\int dU \sqrt{g}$ or simply $\int dU$ will be the left and right-invariant metric, normalized to $\int dU = 1$.

Perhaps the simplest way to motivate a relation between $U(N)$ representation theory and a fermionic theory is simply to quote the Weyl character formula. This gives the character

$$\chi_R(\vec{z}) \equiv \text{Tr} \prod_i z_i^{H_i} = \text{tr} \vec{z}^{\vec{H}} \quad (3)$$

of a representation with highest weight $\vec{w}$ as a ratio of finite sums over the Weyl group. For $U(N)$, we can label a representation R by a set of indices n_i , $1 \leq i \leq N$ with $n_1 > n_2 > \dots > n_N$, where $n_i - N + i$ is the number of boxes in the i 'th row of the Young tableau, and $Q = \sum_i n_i - N(N+1)/2$ is the $U(1)$ charge. Then

$$\chi_{\vec{n}}(\vec{z}) = \frac{\det_{i,j} z_i^{n_j}}{\det_{i,1 \leq j \leq N} z_i^j}. \quad (4)$$

We notice that multiplying by the common denominator in the formula turns a character into an antisymmetric function of the N variables, which is normalized in the inner product $\int \prod_i d\theta_i$. Thus this function $\psi_R(\vec{z})$ can be regarded as the wave function of a quantum mechanical system of N fermions.

Alternatively one could start from quantum mechanics on the $U(N)$ group manifold. Noting that characters span the class functions (functions invariant under $U \rightarrow gUg^{-1}$), one is just interested in the singlet sector of this quantum mechanics, which can be reduced to a system of N free fermions following the analysis of hermitian matrix quantum mechanics by Brezin et. al. [8]. Thus we start with a Hamiltonian

$$H = \sum_a E^a E^a \quad (5)$$

where $E^a = \text{Tr } t^a U \partial / \partial U$ satisfy the conventions above and generate left rotations on U . We restrict to the singlet sector by changing variables to $U_{ij} = R_{ik} z_k R_{kj}^{-1}$ and taking wave

functions independent of the unitary R . Let $z_i = \exp i\theta_i$; wave functions are periodic under $\theta_i \rightarrow \theta_i + 2\pi$, and symmetric under permutation. The invariant measure in these variables is

$$\sqrt{g} = |\Delta(z)|^2 = \tilde{\Delta}(z)^2 \quad (6)$$

where $\Delta(z) = \prod_{i < j} (z_i - z_j)$ and $\tilde{\Delta}(z) = \prod_{i < j} \sin \frac{\theta_i - \theta_j}{2} = \Delta(z) / \prod_i z_i^{(N-1)/2}$. Thus

$$H = - \sum_i \frac{1}{\tilde{\Delta}^2} \frac{d}{d\theta_i} \tilde{\Delta}^2 \frac{d}{d\theta_i}. \quad (7)$$

Following BIPZ we rewrite this as

$$H = - \sum_i \left[\frac{1}{\tilde{\Delta}} \frac{d^2}{d\theta_i^2} \tilde{\Delta} - \frac{1}{\tilde{\Delta}} \left(\frac{d^2 \tilde{\Delta}}{d\theta_i^2} \right) \right]. \quad (8)$$

For hermitian matrix quantum mechanics Δ was a Vandermonde and the second term, thanks to a non-trivial identity, gave zero. Here, after similar manipulations, the second term is found to equal $-N(N^2 - 1)/12$. Thus, after redefining the wave functions by $\psi \rightarrow \tilde{\Delta}\psi$, we have a theory of N free fermions on the circle. The boundary conditions are also determined by this redefinition; they become periodic (antiperiodic, respectively) for N odd (even). An orthonormal basis for wave functions is Slater determinants

$$\psi_{\vec{n}} = \det_{i,j} z_i^{n_j} \quad (9)$$

with energy $E = \sum_i n_i^2 - N(N^2 - 1)/12$. The ground state has fermions distributed symmetrically about $n = 0$, and energy zero, so the Fermi level $n_F = (N - 1)/2$.

Since the Hamiltonian is just the second Casimir of the Lie algebra, we might expect energy eigenstates to be associated with irreducible representations. Comparison with the Weyl character formula bears this out; a direct proof follows from [4], equation (4.8).

It is convenient to introduce a second quantized formalism with operators B_{-n}^+ and B_n creating and destroying the fermion mode z^n , and $\psi(\theta) = \sum_n e^{in\theta} B_n$. Then $H = \int d\theta \partial \psi^+ \partial \psi$.

Another basis for class functions on the group manifold is products of

$$W_n = \text{Tr} U^n = \sum_i z_i^n. \quad (10)$$

In our second quantized formalism these are fermion bilinears.

It is now appropriate to take the large N limit. Many things simplify if we never consider operators W_n with $n \sim N$, because then fermions near the positive and negative Fermi surfaces completely decouple. We can then speak of a relativistic fermi system, with complex chiral left- and right-moving fermions. We should also speak of U raising the left-moving (upper) fermions while lowering the right-movers, and U^{-1} doing the opposite (so these are exactly the two chirality sectors of Gross). So, let $b_n^+ = B_{-n_F - \epsilon + n}^+$, $b_n = B_{n_F + \epsilon + n}$, $\bar{b}_n^+ = B_{n_F + \epsilon - n}^+$, $\bar{b}_n = B_{-n_F - \epsilon - n}$, where $\epsilon = \frac{1}{2}$ was just introduced to give Neveu-Schwarz ($n \in \mathbb{Z} + \frac{1}{2}$) modeing for all N . The local operators $\psi(z) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z} + \frac{1}{2}} z^{-n} b_n$, $\psi^+(z)$, $\bar{\psi}(\bar{z}) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z} + \frac{1}{2}} \bar{z}^{-n} \bar{b}_n$, and $\bar{\psi}^+(\bar{z})$ now satisfy standard 2d field theory commutation relations. The standard Fock vacuum ($b_n|0\rangle = b_n^+|0\rangle = 0$ for $n > 0$) corresponds to the identity representation, and higher representations can be built by acting with the bilinears $b_n^+ b_m$ and $\bar{b}_n^+ \bar{b}_m$. Of these, clearly the simplest are the W_n 's which become

$$W_n = \text{Tr} U^n = \oint dz z^{-1-n} \psi^+(z) \psi(z) + \oint d\bar{z} \bar{z}^{-1+n} \bar{\psi}^+(\bar{z}) \bar{\psi}(\bar{z}). \quad (11)$$

We immediately recognize the operators here as the left- and right-moving conformal field theory $U(1)$ currents, and the construction of the W_n 's as bosonization:

$$W_n \equiv \alpha_{-n} + \bar{\alpha}_n = \int d\theta e^{in\theta} \partial_\tau \phi(z = e^{n(\tau+i\theta)}, \bar{z} = e^{n(\tau-i\theta)}) \quad (12)$$

defining the standard free boson oscillator expansion with $[\alpha_m, \alpha_n] = \delta_{m+n,0}$ (resp. $\bar{\alpha}$), and $[\alpha, \bar{\alpha}] = 0$. The charges α_0 and $\bar{\alpha}_0$ count fermion numbers, which are constant in our application, and we will simply define these to be zero. The W_n commute as operators, as they should.

The appearance of a two-dimensional auxiliary space in the problem (sometimes referred to as the “world-sheet” in the following, but only out of habit) has more to do with the number of degrees of freedom we are representing than any intrinsic two-dimensional nature of group theory. As we will see below, some natural group-theoretic operations are non-local in this space.

A number of non-trivial results already follow. First, we have a quantum field theory description of the well-known Frobenius relation between characters and symmetric polynomials. A character $\chi_{\vec{n}}$ corresponds to a Fock basis state in a simple way; if one takes a “chiral” (in the sense of Gross) representation R , i.e. in the tensor product of finitely many

fundamental representations, with Young tableau with n_i boxes in the i 'th row, $1 \leq i \leq r$, this corresponds to the state

$$|\vec{n}\rangle = \chi_{\vec{n}}(U)|0\rangle = b_{\epsilon-n_1}^+ b_{\epsilon-n_2}^+ \dots b_{\epsilon-n_r}^+ b_{-\epsilon-1} b_{-\epsilon-2} \dots b_{-\epsilon-r} |0\rangle. \quad (13)$$

An alternate basis for class functions is

$$\prod_i (\text{Tr} U^i)^{\sigma_i} \quad (14)$$

(in terms of the z_i these functions give a basis for the symmetric polynomials) which will correspond to the state

$$|\sigma\rangle = \prod_i W_i^{\sigma_i} |0\rangle. \quad (15)$$

Orthonormality of the characters gives us

$$\chi_{\vec{n}}(U)|0\rangle = |\vec{n}\rangle = \sum_{\sigma} \langle \vec{n} | \sigma \rangle W_i^{\sigma_i} |0\rangle. \quad (16)$$

This is also true for products of “chiral” and “anti-chiral” representations, and in explaining this it is also appropriate to discuss the reduction to $SU(N)$ from $U(N)$. On a group element this is accomplished by constraining $z \equiv \prod_i z^i = 1$. Equivalently, we can restrict the class functions we consider to those with zero $U(1)$ charge. Since $Q = \sum_i z_i d/dz_i$, we can multiply a function with charge q by $z^{-q/N}$ to cancel its charge. In the fermi language we would have N twist sectors defined by their charge under the action of the Z_N center of the group. In the large N limit this structure becomes irrelevant, and we can take our factor ϵ to depend on the sector in a way which gives all sectors Neveu-Schwarz boundary conditions. In principle we need to keep track of this in rewriting our Hamiltonian in terms of the relativistic states, which we will do below. However, a simpler procedure will be to explicitly subtract the contribution due to the $U(1)$ charge, which is still accessible after the rewriting, using $Q = L_0 - \bar{L}_0$.

Otherwise, this modification has no effect, and we can check for example that $\text{Tr} U \text{Tr} U^{-1} |0\rangle = (\alpha_{-1} \bar{\alpha}_{-1} + 1) |0\rangle$ contains the singlet and adjoint irreducible representations. The highest component in the product of “chiral” and “anti-chiral” representations is then clearly the one in which the left- and right-moving fermions are excited independently, and the formula (16) is again true, where we take bosonic states $\sum_{\sigma, \bar{\sigma}} c_{\sigma, \bar{\sigma}} W_i^{\sigma_i} W_{-i}^{\bar{\sigma}_i} |0\rangle$ with $\sum_i i \sigma_i = L_0$ (the number of chiral boxes) and $\sum_i i \bar{\sigma}_i = \bar{L}_0$.

Another application of this is the integration of class functions, which is just expectation values of products of operators. For example,

$$\begin{aligned} \int dU (Tr U^{-2})(Tr U)^2 &= \langle 0 | (\alpha_2 + \bar{\alpha}_{-2})(\alpha_{-1} + \bar{\alpha}_1)^2 | 0 \rangle \\ &= 0 \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

to all orders in $1/N$. (and for finite $N > 2$, by going back to the non-relativistic fermions.)

As we saw earlier, the quantum mechanical Hamiltonian is the second Casimir, which is not the relativistic Hamiltonian $L_0 + \bar{L}_0$. In terms of relativistic fermions it is

$$\begin{aligned} H_{U(N)} &= \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z} + \frac{1}{2}} (n_F + \epsilon - n)^2 (b_{-n}^+ b_n + \bar{b}_n^+ \bar{b}_{-n}) - E_0 \\ &= NL_0 + N\bar{L}_0 + \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z} + \frac{1}{2}} n^2 : b_{-n}^+ b_n + \bar{b}_{-n}^+ \bar{b}_n : \\ &= NL_0 + N\bar{L}_0 + \oint dz \, z^2 : \partial \psi^+ \partial \psi : + \oint d\bar{z} \, \bar{z}^2 : \bar{\partial} \bar{\psi}^+ \bar{\partial} \bar{\psi} : \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

(we know that the vacuum energy in this ground state is zero). For $SU(N)$ we would subtract from this

$$H_{U(1)} = \frac{1}{N} (L_0 - \bar{L}_0)^2. \quad (19)$$

The bosonization of this Hamiltonian is very well known in the context of matrix models, as it is just the Das-Jevicki-Sakita Hamiltonian governing the dynamics of the eigenvalue density in hermitian matrix quantum mechanics. Of course we have just retraced the steps of Gross and Klebanov leading to free relativistic fermions in that problem. Using abelian bosonization as in [9], we reproduce

$$H = NL_0 + N\bar{L}_0 + \frac{1}{3} \oint dz \, z^2 : (\partial \phi)^3 : - \frac{1}{3} \oint d\bar{z} \, \bar{z}^2 : (\bar{\partial} \phi)^3 : . \quad (20)$$

The cubic interaction term in this Hamiltonian is quite natural, as we could see by considering the action of our original (5) on states (15) – it would contain terms preserving the “string number” (number of traces), as well as terms joining or splitting strings in higher order in $1/N$.

A direct application of quantum mechanics on a group manifold is to two-dimensional Yang-Mills theory on the cylinder, where the wave function is a function of the gauge holonomy around the non-contractible loop, and quantum mechanical time corresponds to the area of the cylinder. The large N limit is taken with gauge coupling $g^2 = \tau/N$, τ

fixed, so time evolution is generated by an $O(N^0)$ Hamiltonian with an $O(1/N)$ interaction term. The torus partition function is easy in this formalism as it is just the trace of the heat kernel, so it is the torus partition function in our conformal field theory. The leading N^0 term is reproduced by free field theory, while the interaction terms in the Hamiltonian will generate $1/N$ corrections. It is amusing that the original Yang-Mills states could be thought of as strings winding around the cylinder with $\text{Tr}U^n$ creating an n -winding string, and that we have reformulated this as an operator creating a state on another cylinder of momentum n .

To extend this to arbitrary genus closed surfaces we need in addition the wave function for the disk and the three-holed sphere. We might expect to be able to represent them as simple conformal field theory states, as is done in string field theory. In particular the zero-area limits of these vertices satisfy simple constraints in the bosonic language. First, the zero-area disk has trivial holonomy, or the wave function $\psi = \delta(U)$. In other words $\text{Tr}U^n = N \quad \forall n \neq 0$, or

$$(\alpha_n + \bar{\alpha}_{-n} - N)|D_0\rangle = 0. \quad (21)$$

These constraints are easily solved:

$$|D_0\rangle = \exp - \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{1}{n} (\alpha_{-n} \bar{\alpha}_{-n} - N \alpha_{-n} - N \bar{\alpha}_{-n}) |0\rangle. \quad (22)$$

This state can be used to calculate the dimension of a representation:

$$\dim R_{\vec{n}} = \langle D_0 | \vec{n} \rangle. \quad (23)$$

(try for example the characters $\frac{1}{2}((\text{Tr}U)^2 + \text{Tr}U^2)$.)

Another characterization of this state is through boundary conditions of the fields – we put a boundary $\tau = 0$ with $\partial\phi/\partial\tau = N\delta(\theta)$. This is equivalent to taking Neumann boundary conditions, and inserting the operator $:\exp iN\phi(0):$. In the fermi language this is a rather singular state, which is defined by the boundary condition that all the fermions (eigenvalues) are at $z = 1$. In the non-relativistic formalism, we can produce it by taking the o.p.e. of N fermions, resulting in the state $\prod_{i=0}^{N-1} \partial^i \psi |0\rangle$. Taking the inner product of (for example) a character with this state will reproduce the calculation of the dimension of a representation by taking the limit of all $z_i \rightarrow 1$ in the Weyl character formula using l'Hôpital's rule.

Neither form is very easy to work with, and an attempt to calculate the leading $O(N^2)$ term in the QCD_2 sphere free energy will illustrate this. This is simply

$$\exp N^2 F_0 = \langle D_0 | e^{-\tau H} | D_0 \rangle \quad (24)$$

and in our bosonic formulation this looks like a calculation of the partition function on a cylinder with Neumann boundary conditions, with an interaction suppressed by $1/N$, and two local sources $e^{N\phi(0)}$ on the boundaries. What makes this complicated is that the source has an N in the exponent, which compensates the $1/N$ suppression of the interaction. After going to the Lagrangian and rescaling $\phi \rightarrow N\phi$, the action has an N^2 in front of every term, showing both that the leading term in the free energy is $O(N^2)$ and that we can get it by solving a classical problem, however the classical problem is non-linear. This is of course the same problem that Gross [10] found in directly performing the sum; one must keep the $O(1/N)$ subleading term in the Casimir since it can combine with N 's from the dimension formula. The integrable nature of the bosonic theory and relation to free fermions should make this problem solvable; however we leave the full treatment of this for future work.

The other natural object for QCD_2 is the “three-space vertex”, i.e. we can build a Riemann surface of higher genus by connecting cylinders with a vertex which turns one S_1 into two, identifying the product of the holonomies of the resulting S_1 's with that of the original one. In terms of wave functions this is simply

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_2(V) \otimes \psi_3(W) &= \int dU \psi_1(UVU^{-1}W) \\ &= \sum_R \frac{1}{\dim R} \chi_R(V) \chi_R(W) \langle R | \psi_1 \rangle, \end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

which can certainly be written formally as a sum over fermionic states. Some idea of how unnatural this is in our conformal field theory formalism can be gained by considering that one property of this vertex is that shrinking one of the S_1 's to zero, i.e. gluing the state $|D_0\rangle$, produces the identity operator on the product of the remaining S_1 's. Since $|D_0\rangle$ was a finite-size Neumann boundary, the vertex is highly non-local in our auxiliary space. Another disturbing picture of this sort follows from considering the vertex with two S_1 's identified, producing an operator which adds a zero-area handle, $|H_0\rangle$. This is a fairly benign object in QCD_2 itself due to the area-preserving diffeomorphism invariance, but

here must for example reproduce the torus partition function, also naturally thought of as a path integral on the torus in the present formalism, as $\langle H_0 | e^{-\tau H} | D_0 \rangle = Z_1(\tau)$.

A conclusion one might draw from these pictures is that the present formalism is very unnatural for QCD₂ problems. Although there is certainly truth to this, there are other interesting operations which are quite simple in this formalism and which have applications in other toy models of large N gauge theory. A good example is multiplication of wave functions, which we could use for example to compute

$$Z_n = \int dU Z_{hk}^n(U). \quad (26)$$

Expressing the heat kernel action in terms of characters, we would need

$$\int dU \chi_R(U) \chi_S(U) \chi_T(U) = N_{RST}, \quad (27)$$

where N_{RST} is the number of singlets in the tensor product of these irreducible representations. A number of formulas exist for these coefficients [11] but are not convenient for performing sums of the sort we want. However in the present formalism, the operation of multiplying wave functions is natural, and local on the world-sheet. The operation of multiplying n wave functions is representable by a “ n -string vertex” which is characterized by the following property:

$$\langle \psi_1 | \langle \psi_2 | \dots \text{Tr} U_i^k | V_n \rangle = \int dU \text{Tr} U^k \prod_i \psi_i(U) \quad (28)$$

is the same no matter which wave function we multiply by $\text{Tr} U^k$. In conformal field theory terms this means that we have a boundary on which n cylinders meet, and the boundary condition

$$\partial_\tau \phi_i(z) = \partial_\tau \phi_j(z) \quad \forall i, j. \quad (29)$$

This condition only couples mode n to $-n$ and can be translated [12] into an oscillator expression for the vertex

$$|V_n \rangle = \exp \sum_{i \neq j} \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{1}{n} \alpha_{-n}^{(i)} \bar{\alpha}_{-n}^{(j)} |0 \rangle. \quad (30)$$

We have verified that contracting $|V_3 \rangle$ with a general state $|1 \rangle = \prod_i (\text{Tr} U^i)^{\sigma_i} |0 \rangle$ produces an operator which is exactly multiplication by $\prod_i (\text{Tr} U^i)^{\sigma_i}$.

Using this vertex, a number of models with multiple plaquettes joined on a link can be solved. Of course the link must be closed, so that we only need the partition function on the incident plaquettes as a function of each holonomy separately, and as we mentioned our results are not very explicit for plaquettes forming higher-genus two-dimensional surfaces. An example of a model we can solve is to take D cylinders, identify one end of each cylinder as above, and identify the other ends separately. This is the partition function

$$Z_D = \langle V_D | \exp - \sum_i \tau_i H_i | V_D \rangle. \quad (31)$$

In fact this is (a slight generalization of) a limit of the Eguchi-Kawai reduced model of QCD [13] in D dimensions, where we keep only the electric field plaquettes $F_{0i} = U_0 U_i U_0^{-1} U_i^{-1}$. To see this, first imagine compactifying time; the plaquette F_{0i} then becomes a cylinder. Gluing these plaquettes to the one time-like link then produces the above partition function with one τ set to zero. Of course throwing away the magnetic field removes any physical connection with higher-dimensional QCD; nevertheless this is an exercise which gives the number of degrees of freedom in the theory, and provides a toy model on which generalizations of [7] can be tested.

This model has no N^2 term in its free energy. Therefore the complications of the sphere partition function are absent, and the N^0 term is a free oscillator problem. Corrections in $1/N$ can be generated by insertions of the cubic interaction Hamiltonian. We quote the final result at $O(N^0)$ (with $q_i = \exp -\tau_i$):

$$Z_3 = \prod_{n \geq 1} (1 - \sum_{i < j} q_i^n q_j^n + 2q_1^n q_2^n q_3^n)^{-1} (1 - \sum_{i < j} q_i^n q_j^n - 2q_1^n q_2^n q_3^n)^{-1}. \quad (32)$$

and

$$Z_D = \prod_{n \geq 1} (1 - \sum_{a=2}^D (a-1) E_a(q^n))^{-1} (1 - \sum_{a=2}^D (a-1) E_a(-q^n))^{-1} \quad (33)$$

where $E_a(q_i) = \sum_{i_1 < \dots < i_a} q_{i_1} q_{i_2} \dots$ are the elementary symmetric polynomials.

Setting $q_1 = q_2 = q$, $q_3 = 1$ in the $D = 3$ answer gives the EK partition function in this case,

$$Z_{EK3} = \prod_{n \geq 1} (1 - q^n)^{-2} (1 + q^n)^{-1} (1 - 3q^n)^{-1} + O(1/N^2). \quad (34)$$

The $(1 - 3q^n)$ term demonstrates the expected exponential growth in the density of states for a string theory. In particular one should not think of the states in our CFT

description as having a one-to-one correspondance with states in the EK theory. Making direct progress on the model with the magnetic field term almost certainly requires a description of these states. Of course one can write a simple basis like $\text{Tr}U^n$, $\text{Tr}U^n V^m$, $\text{Tr}U^n V^m U^p V^q$, and so forth, (and elementary combinatorics using this basis reproduces the above $O(N^0)$ result), but one should not expect a field theory representation of this basis. This is why we are looking for a QCD string.

This project was initiated in conversations with J. Polchinski and A. Strominger at the ITP Non-perturbative String Physics workshop. I thank J. Polchinski for pointing out (21). I would also like to acknowledge enlightening discussions with T. Banks, J. Cohn, and P. Ginsparg.

The reader is also directed to a simultaneous and independent work by J. Minahan and A. Polychronakos, hep-th/9303153, with substantial overlap with the present work.

This work was supported in part by DOE grant DE-FG05-90ER40559, NSF grants PHY-9157016 and PHY89-04035, and the Sloan Foundation.

References

- [1] For a comprehensive review, see I. Klebanov's April 1991 Trieste lectures, or the upcoming work of P. Ginsparg and G. Moore.
- [2] A. Jevicki, Nucl. Phys. B376 (1992) 75
- [3] A. Pressley and G. Segal, Loop Groups, Clarendon, Oxford, 1986
- [4] M. Stone, Phys. Rev. B42 (1990) 8399
- [5] A. Migdal, Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. 69 (1975) 810 (Sov. Phys. JETP. 42 413)
- [6] B. Rusakov, Mod. Phys. Lett. A5 (1990) 693.
- [7] D. Gross and W. Taylor, preprints PUPT-1376 hep-th/9301068 and PUPT-1382 hep-th/9303046.
- [8] E. Brezin, C. Itzykson, G. Parisi and J.-B. Zuber, Comm. Math. Phys. 59 (1978) 35.
- [9] D.J. Gross and I. Klebanov, Nucl. Phys. B344 (1990) 475
- [10] D. Gross, Princeton preprint PUPT-1356, hep-th/9212149
- [11] W. Fulton and J. Harris, Representation theory : a first course, Springer-Verlag, 1991
- [12] M. Douglas, to appear.
- [13] T. Eguchi and H. Kawai, Phys. Rev. Lett. 48 (1982) 1063.